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1 Introduction

On 10 October 2019, a Maintenance Impact Analysis Report [MIAR11] was submitted to CSEC, as part of a request from HP Inc. to extend the validity of the Common Criteria certificate previously awarded to:

HP LaserJet Enterprise MFP M631/M632/M633,
HP Color LaserJet Enterprise MFP M681/M682,
HP LaserJet Managed MFP E72525/E72530/E72535/E82540/E82550/E82560/
   E62555/E62565/E62575,
HP Color LaserJet Managed MFP E77822/E77825/E77830/E87640/E87650/
   E87660/E67550/E67560.

The process to achieve this under mutual recognition is described in “Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements” [AC]. In accordance with [AC], the [MIAR11] describes all changes done to the TOE and/or its IT environment, all resulting changes done to the evaluation evidence, and the security impact of the changes.

The process of extending the validity of a certificate to an updated product is referred to below as “certificate maintenance”.

It should be noted that the assessment of the certification body skipped a few formal steps (related to project planning) and went directly from review of the maintenance application to finalising the maintenance report.

This does not follow CSEC's written procedures, but for such a simple project the need for planning is small. This approach was chosen in agreement between the certifiers and the operations manager.
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<tr>
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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sponsor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Developer</strong></td>
</tr>
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<td><strong>ITSEF</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common Criteria version</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CEM version</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Certification date</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Description of Changes

The changed made to the TOE has been subject to the following changes:

1. The previously evaluated product includes a Seagate ST500LT015 self-encrypting drive (SED) that is FIPS 140-2 validated (CMVP #1826), but the validation reached its scheduled sunset date in September 2019. The disk therefore has now been replaced with a Common Criteria certified (VID10857) and FIPS 140-2 validated (CMVP #2695) self-encrypting disk drive (SED), Seagate ST500LM033.

In the evaluation, the self-encrypting drive is covered by an SFR, FDP_DSK_EXT.1, which requires that the SED has been CC certified/validated against the Full Disk Encryption Protection Profile. In the [HCDPP] assurance activity description for TSS, it is stated that the cryptographic functions (i.e. the security mechanisms corresponding to the SFR) are provided by the operational environment. Therefore, the change should be categorized as minor, following the guidelines in [AC].

2.1 Affected evaluation evidence

The affected evaluation evidence is:

1. The Security Target
2. Key Management Description
3. The Administrative Guidance
4. Additional test documentation

The changes in (1-3) were made to change the information specific to the SED and its validations. The additional test documentation (4) shows that the new SED initialization works and results in the intended operational state.

The TOE hardware and firmware is unchanged.

2.2 Conclusion

The changes done to the TOE has not in any way changed the hardware or firmware of the TOE, only the Security Target, the Key Management Description, and the Administrative Guidance were updated with the name and validation information for the new SED, and test evidence showing that the new SED works as intended were added.

The changes apply to functionality located in the operational environment, and are therefore categorized as minor, so that certificate maintenance according [AC] applies.

The conclusion is that the assurance gained during the original evaluation and certification for

HP LaserJet Enterprise MFP M631/M632/M633,
HP Color LaserJet Enterprise M681/M682,
HP LaserJet Managed MFP E72525/E72530/E72535/E82540/E82550/E82560/E62555/E62565/E62575,
and
HP Color LaserJet Managed MFP E77822/E77825/E77830/E87640/E87650/E87660/E67550/E67560
remains after changing the self-encryption drive delivered with the product.
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