



Swedish Certification Body for IT Security

Certification Report - Secure Messages Protection Profile

Issue: 1.0, 2018-dec-18

Authorisation: Helén Svensson, Lead certifier , CSEC

Swedish Certification Body for IT Security
Certification Report - Secure Messages Protection Profile

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Identification	4
3	Results of the Evaluation	5
4	Evaluator Comments and Recommendations	6
5	Glossary	7
6	Bibliography	8
Appendix A	QMS Consistency	9

1 Executive Summary

The TOE described in this PP is software only and consists of a secure messaging server.

A user within an organization uses the TOE to send a sensitive message to an external user. The TOE stores this message in a local database and sends to the recipient a notification of incoming message via e.g. SMS. The recipient can then, after successful identification and authentication, read and reply to the message securely by using a web browser.

The File Encryption Protection Profile requires demonstrable conformance, and does not claim conformance to any other PP. The product assurance package is EAL3 + ALC_FLR.2.

The evaluation has been performed by atsec information security AB in their premises in Danderyd, Sweden and was completed on 2018-11-28.

The evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of Common Criteria, version 3.1, release 5, and the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation, version 3.1, release 5. The evaluation was performed according to the requirements in assurance class APE.

atsec information security AB is a licensed evaluation facility for Common Criteria under the Swedish Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme. atsec information security AB is also accredited by the Swedish accreditation body SWEDAC according to ISO/IEC 17025 for Common Criteria evaluation.

The certifier monitored the activities of the evaluator by reviewing all successive versions of the evaluation reports. The certifier determined that the evaluation results confirm the security claims in the Protection Target [PP], and have been reached in agreement with the requirements of the Common Criteria and the Common Methodology.

The certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the protection profile listed in this certification report.

The certificate is not an endorsement of the protection profile by CSEC or by any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the protection profile by CSEC or by any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

2 Identification

Certification Identification	
Certification ID	CSEC2018004
Name and version of the certified IT product	Secure Messages Protection Profile
Compliance requirement	Demonstrable conformance
EAL	EAL3 + ALC_FLR.2
Sponsor	Myndigheten för samhällsskydd och beredskap, MSB
Developer	Myndigheten för samhällsskydd och beredskap, MSB
ITSEF	atsec information security AB
Common Criteria version	3.1 release 5
CEM version	3.1 release 5
QMS version	1.21.5
Recognition Scope	CCRA, SOGIS, EA/MLA
Certification date	2018-12-18

3 Results of the Evaluation

The evaluators applied each work unit of the Common Methodology [CEM] within the scope of the evaluation, and concluded that the evaluated PP [PP] meets the requirements in assurance class APE.

The certifier reviewed the work of the evaluator and determined that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the Common Criteria [CC].

The evaluators overall verdict is PASS.

The verdicts for the respective assurance class and its components are summarised in the following table:

Assurance Class Name / Component	Short name	Verdict
Protection Profile Evaluation	APE	PASS
PP Introduction	APE_INT.1	PASS
Conformance Claims	APE_CCL.1	PASS
Security Problem Definition	APE_SPD.1	PASS
Security Objectives	APE_OBJ.2	PASS
Extended Components Definition	APE_ECD.1	PASS
Security Requirements	APE_REQ.2	PASS

4 Evaluator Comments and Recommendations

None.

5 Glossary

CEM	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security, document describing the methodology used in Common Criteria evaluations
ITSEF	IT Security Evaluation Facility, test laboratory licensed to operate within a evaluation and certification scheme
ST	Security Target, document containing security requirements and specifications , used as the basis of a TOE evaluation
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSF	TOE Security Functions
TSFI	TSF Interface

6 Bibliography

PP	Secure Messages Protection Profile, MSB, 2018-11-26, document version 1.1
CCpart1	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1, version 3.1 revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-001
CCpart2	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2, version 3.1 revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-002
CCpart3	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3, version 3.1 revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-003
CC	CCpart1 + CCpart2 + CCpart3
CEM	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, version 3.1 revision 5, CCMB-2017-04-004
SP-002	SP-002 Evaluation and Certification, CSEC, 2018-04-24, document version 29.0
SP-188	SP-188 Scheme Crypto Policy, CSEC, 2017-04-04, document version 7.0

Appendix A QMS Consistency

During the certification the following versions of the Swedish Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification scheme has been used.

1.21.5 Valid from 2018-11-19

1.21.4 Valid from 2018-09-13

In order to ensure consistency in the outcome of the certification, the certifier has examined the changes introduced in each update of the quality management system.

The changes between consecutive versions are outlined in “Ändringslista CSEC QMS 1.21.5”. The certifier concluded that, from QMS 1.21.4 to the current QMS 1.21.5, there are no changes with impact on the result of the certification.